1899, first issue of the *The Petroleum Industrial & Technical Review*. Published in London, it was the forerunner technical journal devoted entirely to the international petroleum and gas industry.
I. ICOHTEC

I.1 ICON

ICON, the biannual journal of the International Committee for the History of Technology, publishes papers covering all aspects and periods in the history of technology, but devotes special attention to the discussion of contemporary problems of technology in their socio-economic and cultural settings. The journal's goal is to foster co-operation amongst scholars from all parts of the world by providing timely review and circulation of ideas to an international audience of like-minded individuals.

ICON welcomes submissions from non-ICOHTEC members as well as members, and particularly encourages submissions from authors for whom English is not their first language. All papers are chosen by peer review, using a double-blind process, and authors will be given editorial assistance to improve clarity and vigor of written expression.

We publish research articles (6,000-8,000 words), research briefs (3,000 words), and biographies (2,000-4,000 words) in addition to our solicited book reviews.

Articles appearing in ICON are included in SCOPUS, America: History and Life, Current abstracts, Francis, Historical abstracts, PubMed, TOC premier, listed in the European Reference Index for the Humanities and are available via JSTOR. Please send your manuscripts (paper plus abstract) to the editor at editor@icohtec.org. For further information about format, please consult our guidelines on the ICOHTEC website (http://www.icohtec.org/icon/guidelines-for-contributors/).

II. PRIZES: HISTORY OF THE HUMAN SCIENCES EARLY CAREER PRIZE 2020-21

History of the Human Sciences – the international journal of peer-reviewed research, which provides the leading forum for work in the social sciences, humanities, human psychology and biology that reflexively examines its own historical origins and interdisciplinary influences – is delighted to announce details of its prize for early career scholars. The intention of the annual award is to recognise a researcher whose work best represents the journal’s aim to critically examine traditional assumptions and preoccupations about human beings, their societies and their histories in light of developments that cut across disciplinary boundaries. In the pursuit of these goals, History of the Human Sciences publishes traditional humanistic studies as well work in the social sciences, including the fields of sociology, psychology, political science, the history and
philosophy of science, anthropology, classical studies, and literary theory. Scholars working in any of these fields are encouraged to apply.

Guidelines for the Award
Scholars who wish to be considered for the award are asked to submit an up-to-date two-page CV (including a statement that confirms eligibility for the award) and an essay that is a maximum of 12,000 words long (including notes and references). The essay should be unpublished and not under consideration elsewhere, based on original research, written in English, and follow History of the Human Science’s style guide. Scholars are advised to read the journal’s description of its aims and scope, as well as its submission guidelines.
Entries will be judged by a panel drawn from the journal’s editorial team and board. They will identify the essay that best fits the journal’s aims and scope.

Eligibility
Scholars of any nationality who have either not yet been awarded a PhD or are no more than five years from its award are welcome to apply. The judging panel will use the definition of “active years”, with time away from academia for parental leave, health problems, or other relevant reasons being disregarded in the calculation.

Prize
The winning scholar will be awarded £250 and have their essay published in History of the Human Sciences (subject to the essay passing through the journal’s peer review process). The intention is to award the prize to a single entrant but the judging panel may choose to recognise more than one essay in the event of a particularly strong field.

Deadlines
Entries should be made by Friday 29th January 2021. The panel aims to make a decision by Friday 30th April 2021. The winning entry will be submitted for peer review automatically. The article, clearly identified as the winner of the History of the Human Sciences Early Career Prize, will then be published in the journal as soon as the production schedule allows. The winning scholar and article will also be promoted by History of the Human Sciences, including on its website, which hosts content separate to the journal.

Previous Winners
2019-21’s winner was Danielle Carr (Columbia) for their essay, “Ghastly Marionettes and the political metaphysics of cognitive liberalism: Anti-behaviourism, language, and The Origins of Totalitarianism”. The committee also awarded a special commendation to Katie Joice (Birkbeck) for their essay “Mothering in the Frame: cinematic microanalysis and the pathogenic mother, 1945-67”. You can read more about these essays in interviews with Danielle and Katie on the journal’s website.

To Apply
Entrants should e-mail an anonymised copy of their essay, along with an up-to-date CV, to hhs@histhum.com.
Further Enquiries
If you have any questions about the prize, or anything relating to the journal, please email hhs@histhum.com.

Dr Chris Renwick, Chris.Renwick@York.ac.uk
Senior Lecturer in Modern History
Department of History, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD

III. H-Net: An Appeal from H-Net's President-Elect

Greetings, I am Robert Cassanello, an Associate Professor of History at the University of Central Florida. I am also incoming President here at H-Net. More importantly I have been a subscriber to H-Net since 1993 when I was a graduate student. H-Net was a digital space where I was able to enjoy communicating with people in my field of interest and develop professional networking linkages and other opportunities before I completed my Ph.D. I am pleased when I see graduate students and newly minted Ph.D.s come to H-Net for those same opportunities I did in the 1990s. I can say with certainty, without H-Net as a place to launch my career I don’t know where I would be today.

For this reason, I decided to purchase two ads in support of H-Net’s fundraising campaign. In this pandemic the economic ripples are impacting organizations like H-Net. H-Net depends on Job Ad revenue for its budget to provide the digital space you have come accustomed to and the job market has been hit hard by the pandemic. We know the pandemic has hit us all personally as well, but please consider donating $5, $10 or $20 for this campaign. Or organize colleagues or even within your own H-Net networks to bundle or collaborate to pay for one or more ads across various people.

H-Net does not have a recurring institutional budget; its funding primarily comes from the revenue created from our Job Ad service. We are now over 50% toward our fundraising goal. If we meet our goal it is because of you and we at H-Net thank you.

To donate by credit card online, please follow this link to the Support H-Net page: https://networks.h-net.org/support-h-net

Or send a check payable to H-Net:
H-Net: Humanities & Social Sciences Online
Old Horticulture, Room 141H
506 East Circle Drive
East Lansing, MI 48824
USA

Robert Cassanello
President-Elect; H-Net Review Editor, H-Podcast; Network Editor, H-Florida
IV. E-SCHOL@RSHIP IN THE BUSINESS HISTORY: BUILDING FOR THE FUTURE

Virtual Annual Meeting of the Business History Conference
March 11-13, 2021
Proposals due November 14, 2020

The originally agreed theme of ‘The Ubiquity of Business’ has been dropped. Instead, a new theme ‘Business History: Building for the Future’ has been developed. This year’s BHC annual meeting will be unlike any previous annual meeting as it will be a virtual one. It is hoped that this is only a temporary interlude from the standard get-togethers which we all value so much. However, it also provides an opportunity to be innovative. Four principles underpin our hopes for the annual meeting:

1. To assist graduate students and emerging scholars as a priority.
2. To make the conference as interactive as possible.
3. To regard the meeting as an opportunity to be experimental and radical.
4. To be as inclusive as is possible.

To achieve these goals the Program Committee have agreed the following in relaunching the call for papers for the 2021 BHC annual meeting.

- The Program Committee will accept proposals for individual papers, whole sessions, e-posters, podcasts, videos, slide shows, roundtables and methodological workshop sessions.
- The main aim of the theme is to support graduate students and emerging scholars in the field of business history to develop their careers in these difficult times and where the academic job market is going to be extremely uncertain for some time to come.
- The focus of activities will be to help graduate students and emerging scholars to participate and showcase their research as well as providing opportunities for mentoring by established scholars in the field.
- Innovative, more experimental and radical session proposals which promote discussion about business history are particularly encouraged and the Program Committee are open to being approached to discuss such proposals prior to the submission deadline.
- More established scholars are encouraged to submit proposals which might benefit graduate students and emerging scholars, for example methodological discussions or broad-themed roundtables.
- To maximise the opportunities for two-way interaction speaker time will be more limited than usual with an emphasis on discussion. Proposals for mini-plenaries and roundtables are particularly welcomed.
- The conference will be less intensive than usual, with activities spread across the day to allow greater engagement from around the world and to avoid Zoom fatigue.
- This does mean that there will be significantly fewer opportunities to present work in the traditional format.
To address this, we aim to offer an opportunity for all participants in the annual meeting to showcase their research via a virtual exhibition.

The BHC Doctoral Colloquium will take place, but not in conjunction with the virtual annual meeting. It will probably occur later in the spring.

Similarly, it is envisaged that the usual pre-conference workshops will take place virtually at another time in the year, yet to be decided. However, we are keen to incorporate methodological sessions into the annual meeting program.

To facilitate participation in the 2021 meeting, the BHC Trustees have voted to provide Alfred Chandler Fund grants to cover registration costs for BHC members presenting papers who are graduate students or emerging scholars, the latter defined as within three years of receipt of their PhD degree. Usually Chandler grants cover travel costs to participate in the annual meeting. BHC membership fees are $30 for graduate students and $40 for emerging scholars.

The program committee will be chaired by Lucy Newton (University of Reading) and includes Paula de la Cruz Fernández (University of Florida), Marina Moskowitz (University of Wisconsin – Madison), Susie Pak (St. John’s University), Dan Wadhwani (University of Southern California and Copenhagen Business School), along with BHC President Neil Rollings (University of Glasgow). Victoria Barnes (Max Planck Institute for European Legal History) has been added to the committee as a representative of the Emerging Scholars Committee. To discuss a possible alternative style of paper or session proposal contact Neil Rollings (Neil.Rollings@glasgow.ac.uk) or Lucy Newton (La.newton@henley.ac.uk) in the first instance. We would also encourage people to use the BHC "session organizer," where organizers may send in their panel interests and solicit colleagues to join them. Organizers can also indicate through a post to H-Business that they are trying to organize a BHC panel proposal around a given theme.

Proposals may be submitted for individual papers or for entire sessions. Each presentation proposal should include a one-page (300 word) abstract and one-page curriculum vitae (CV) for each participant. Session proposals (unless a roundtable) should include a maximum of four individual presentations. All session proposals should have a cover letter containing a title, a one-paragraph session description, and the names and affiliations of a recruited chair, as well as the contact information for the session organizer. To submit a proposal go to https://thebhc.org/2021-bhc-meeting and click on the link https://thebhc.org/proposal-instructions.

The deadline for receipt of all paper and panel proposals is November 14, 2020. Acceptance letters will be sent by December 15, 2020. Everyone appearing on the program must register for the meeting.

The K. Austin Kerr Prize will be awarded for the best first paper delivered by a new scholar at the annual meeting. A “new scholar” is defined as a doctoral candidate or a Ph.D. whose degree is less than three years old. You must nominate your paper for this prize on the proposal submission page where indicated. Please check the appropriate box if your proposal qualifies for inclusion in the Kerr Prize competition.

The BHC awards the Herman E. Krooss Prize for the best English-language dissertation in business history by a recent Ph.D. in history, economics, business administration, the history of science and technology, sociology, law, communications, and related fields. To be eligible, dissertations must be completed in the three calendar years immediately prior to the 2021 annual meeting and may only be submitted once for the Krooss prize. After the Krooss committee has reviewed the proposals, it will ask semi-finalists to submit copies of their dissertations. Finalists
will present summaries of their dissertations at a plenary session and will receive a partial subsidy of their travel costs to the meeting. Proposals accepted for the Krooss Prize are not eligible for the Kerr Prize. If you wish to apply for this prize, submit a cover letter, dissertation abstract, and author’s c.v., using this form: https://thebhc.org/krooss-prize-nomination. The deadline for proposals for the Krooss prize is November 14, 2020.

The Martha Moore Tresco Prize is awarded to the best paper at the intersection of business history and the history of technology presented at the annual meeting of the Business History Conference. The prize will be awarded on the basis of the written version of a paper to be presented at the annual meeting. Those wishing to be considered for the prize must indicate so at the time of submitting their original proposal for the meeting. Self-nominating scholars must also provide the written paper to the Chair of the committee not less than one month before the annual meeting. Though the prize will be awarded on the basis of the written paper, candidates must register for the meeting and present their work. Scholars who are eligible for the Kerr Prize may also enter the Tresco Prize. There are no other restrictions on eligibility. Written papers should be no longer than 4,000 words (exclusive of notes, bibliography, appendices, figures and illustrations). The deadline for receipt of all paper and panel proposals is November 14, 2020.

As a result of the digital reconfiguration of the BHC annual meeting, the 2021 BHC Doctoral Colloquium in Business History will be held later in spring 2021. This prestigious workshop, funded by Cambridge University Press, will most likely also take place on a remote basis, over two days in either late May or early June. We may spread the sessions out even more this year, given the likely need for digital interaction. Typically limited to ten students, the colloquium is open to early stage doctoral candidates pursuing dissertation research within the broad field of business history, from any relevant discipline. Topics (see https://thebhc.org/doctoral-colloquia for past examples) may range from the early modern era to the present and explore societies across the globe. Participants work intensively with a distinguished group of BHC-affiliated scholars (including at least two BHC officers), discussing dissertation proposals, relevant literatures and research strategies, and career trajectories. Typically, participants receive partial stipends to defray the costs of travel to the annual meeting. For 2021 participants, BHC will provide a partial stipend to defray travel costs to the next in-person annual meeting. The application cycle will be later than usual this year, with applications due by 15 January 2021 via email to amy.feistel@duke.edu and should include: a statement of interest; CV; preliminary or final dissertation prospectus (10-15 pages); and a letter of support from your dissertation supervisor (or prospective supervisor). Questions about the colloquium should be sent to its director, Edward Balleisen, eballeis@duke.edu. Applicants will receive notification of the selection committee’s decisions by 15 February 2021.

Neil Rollings
Professor of Economic and Business History
School of Social and Political Sciences
University of Glasgow
Lilybank House
Bute Gardens
Glasgow
G12 8RT
Online workshop: WHAT HAPPENED TO COGNITIVE SCIENCE?
9 November 2020
h 16.30 - 19.00 (Central European Standard Time)

Keynote:
Rafael NÚÑEZ (University of California, San Diego)

Discussants:
Marcin MiŁKOWSKI (Polish Academy of Science, Warsaw)

H. Clark BARRETT (University of California, Los Angeles)

Ana-Maria OLTENEANU (Freie Universität Berlin)


The event is organized in partnership with the Italian Association for Cognitive Science (AISC). Attendance is free, no registration required. JOIN HERE: https://bit.ly/3iJdoHy

6th International Workshop on Historical Epistemology Historical Epistemology and Epistemology of History
November 4, 12, 18, 25 2020 ; 17h-19h (Paris time) on Zoom

As the 6th edition of the Workshop on Historical Epistemology - Historical Epistemology and Epistemology of History - could not take place last spring, we have rescheduled it in a new format. We are thus very glad to invite you to the Month of Historical Epistemology! During November 2020, we will hold weekly meetings on Zoom to discuss different aspects of the relationships between historical epistemology and epistemology of history.

Sessions will take place every Wednesday in November, from 17h to 19h (Paris time). Since Wednesday, November 11 is a holiday in France, that week’s session will be an exception and take place on Thursday, November 12, 17h-19h.

In order to participate and receive relevant information concerning the weekly discussions and meeting links, please register at the following link: https://forms.gle/bzTgrrzus5XGkUaND7 The abstracts of the talks and all Workshop updates can be found at https://episthist.hypotheses.org/
Inscription required:
https://forms.gle/bzTgrrzU5XGkUaND7

The workshop is organized by Épistémologie historique. Research Network on the History and the Methods of Historical Epistemology
https://episthist.hypotheses.org/

Wednesday, November 4
17h-19h (Paris time)

Paul Roth (University of California, Santa Cruz), Hacking’s Historiography?

Matteo Vagelli (Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne), Does historical epistemology need a theory of history?

Lucie Fabry (École normale supérieure & Centre Marc Bloch), Epistemologies of history with a Bachelardian background: Granger, Althusser and Foucault.

Thursday, November 12
17h-19h (Paris time)

Stefanos Geroulanos (New York University), Concepts, Metaphors, and Historical Epistemology.

Annagiulia Canesso (Università degli Studi di Padova, Istituto Italiano per gli Studi Filosofici di Napoli), L’histoire errante de la vérité entre Gaston Bachelard et Georges Canguilhem.

Alberto Vianelli (Università degli Studi dell’Insubria), Marc Bloch, un historien entre métier et méthode.

Wednesday, November 18
17h-19h (Paris time)

Perrine Simon-Nahum (CNRS, École normale supérieure), Le jeune Aron : de la biologie à la philosophie de l’histoire.

Massimiliano Simons (Ghent University), History as engagement: The Historical Epistemology of Raymond Aron.

Iván Moya Diez (Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne), Dès l’universalité à la contingence des valeurs. La problématisation du jugement historique chez Canguilhem.
Wednesday, November 25
17h-19h (Paris time)

Sophie Roux (École normale supérieure), La question de l'historicité des sciences chez les bachelardiens.

Silvia De Cesare (Université de Genève), L'idée de « progrès » entre histoire des sciences et histoire de la vie : analyse d'une analogie proposée par Thomas Kuhn.

Masahito Hirai (University of Tokyo), Le principe des conditions d'existence et son application en sociologie comtienne.

Organizing committee
Matteo Vagelli
Ivan Moya Diez
Lucie Fabry
Caroline Angleraux
Marcos Camolezi
Victor Lefèvre

Scientific Committee
Christian Bonnet, CHSPM Paris 1
Jean-François Braunstein, PhiCo Paris 1
Hasok Chang, Cambridge University
Cristina Chimisso, Open University, UK
Arnold I. Davidson, Université de Chicago
Moritz Epple, Université de Francfort
Pierre Wagner, IHPST Paris 1

New initiative at the Center for Philosophy of Science, The Center Debates
“The Center Debates” is a new initiative of the Center for Philosophy of Science. Our goal is to promote serious, but respectful and constructive exchanges about controversial topics of interest to historians and philosophers of science, scientists, and the lay public. Typically, two speakers with a different perspective on a given topic are invited to debate; each gives a 20-minute presentation, followed by two 10-minute responses to the other speaker’s presentation. This exchange is then followed by a 30-minute Q&A. The first installment of Center Debates, “Fake News and Conspiracy Theories,” will take place virtually on TUESDAY, OCTOBER 6th at 12PM Eastern Time. Cailin O'Connor (UC Irvine), author of The Misinformation Age: How False Beliefs Spread, and Hugo Mercier (Jean Nicod Institute, CNRS), author of Not Born Yesterday: The Science of Who We Trust and What We Believe, will debate the impact of “fake news” and conspiracy theories. Why should we care about having true beliefs? And why do demonstrably false beliefs persist and spread despite consequences for the people who hold them? Or, on the other hand, are humans not as
gullible as we think? Registration for this event is **REQUIRED**. Please use this link to register: https://pitt.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_a58mMTsATACl7_s8bheBbQ

Edouard Machery, Director  
The Center of Philosophy Science  
University of Pittsburgh  
https://www.centerphilsci.pitt.edu/

---

**Science and Humanism • 6-7 November 2020 • Zoom (via the University of Miami)**

Registration (to receive a Zoom link) for the Science & Humanism Workshop, along with information on speakers, program, titles, and abstracts, is now available at:  

The workshop will explore connections between science and humanism through a discussion of overlapping issues concerning the viability of naturalism and the comparative status of scientific and other knowledge, the capacity or potential of scientism for setting limits on humanist self-understanding, the interplay between traditions or views of science and agendas of positive social change, promoting the common good through directions of research and/or institutional structures, managing the value-ladenness of science in ways that advance collective welfare, enhancing the public understanding of science and combatting science denialism, and increasing the uptake of scientific knowledge in government, the law, and public policy.

**With keynote presentations by:**

Akeel Bilgrami (Columbia University)  
Matthew J. Brown (University of Texas at Dallas)  
Janet A. Kourany (University of Notre Dame)  
Gurpreet Rattan (University of Toronto)

**And contributed papers by:**

Kareem Khalifa (Middlebury College)  
Alexandru Manafu (York University)  
Parysa Mostajir (University of Chicago)  
Ioan Muntean (University of North Carolina Asheville and Western Carolina University)  
Jamie Shaw (University of Toronto)

**Program Committee:**

Elizabeth Cantalamessa (University of Miami)  
Anjan Chakravartty (University of Miami)  
Timothy D. Lyons (Indiana University–Purdue University Indianapolis)  
Gurpreet Rattan (University of Toronto)

Questions may be sent to Anjan Chakravartty at chakravartty@miami.edu.  
Department of Philosophy, University of Miami, 1252 Memorial Drive, Ashe Building, Room 706  
Coral Gables, Florida 33146, United States. https://anjanchakravartty.com/
University of Leeds History & Philosophy of Science Seminar Series
All talks will be live streamed over TEAMS.
Email Dr Ellen Clarke e.clarke@leeds.ac.uk to get the link.

11 NOVEMBER 2020
Pierre-Olivier Méthot (Université Laval): ‘Beyond Foucault’s Grip: Making Sense of François Jacob’s The Logic of Life’

25 NOVEMBER 2020
Lena Zuchowski (Bristol): ‘What Kind of Models are Deep Learning Algorithms?’

9 DECEMBER 2020
Jimena Canales (Illinois): ‘Science and the History of Non-Existent Things’

Abstracts
11 NOVEMBER 2020, Pierre-Olivier Méthot (Université Laval): ‘Beyond Foucault’s Grip: Making Sense of François Jacob’s The Logic of Life’

With a few notable exceptions, commentators have systematically observed striking similarities between French geneticist François Jacob’s The Logic of Life – A History of Heredity (1970) and Michel Foucault’s The Order of Things (1966) and The Archaeology of Knowledge (1969). There are grounds for thinking that Jacob was indeed influenced by the work of his colleague at the Collège de France: rejecting a linear view, Jacob proposed a discontinuous framework whereby each historical period is delineated by profound transformations in the nature of biological knowledge itself. He further attended to the “various stages of knowledge” he identified and how they enabled the study of new “objects” in biology, thanks not only to the development of instruments but to new ways of looking at the organism. Unsurprisingly, Foucault praised The Logic of Life as “the most remarkable history of biology ever written” and even used it as a confirmation of his own archaeological approach. This Foucauldian reading, although pervasive, is far too simple and is at best incomplete, however. But if Foucault isn’t the main intellectual source behind Jacob’s best-selling book, then who is? And why did Jacob – a Nobel Prize winner – suddenly turned into a historian of biology? In this talk, I advance a new narrative in order to make sense of The Logic of Life. Drawing on archival material from the Institut Pasteur in Paris, I will argue that the book is best characterized as a response to Jacques Monod’s biological vision of scientific growth. According to Monod, ideas in science follow a logic of mutation and selection, a view rejected by Jacob on the grounds that it takes evolutionary principles beyond their rightful domain. This crucial difference between Jacob and Monod, I will show, can shed new light on the opposition between “history of ideas” and “history of objects”. I will further argue that Jacob’s change in laboratory organism in the late 1960s was an important impetus in writing the book. Only in loosening Foucault’s grip and in situating The Logic of Life within its own cultural context can we hope to critically assess the promises and the limitations of Jacob’s historiographical legacy.

25 NOVEMBER 2020, Lena Zuchowski (Bristol): ‘What Kind of Models are Deep Learning Algorithms?’

I will introduce a novel conceptual framework for the analysis of scientific modelling. The framework will be used to distinguish and comparatively analyse three different ways of model construction: vertical from covering theory and empirical knowledge about a given target system; horizontal
through the systematic variation or transfer of existing models; and diagonal through a combination of vertical and horizontal construction steps. I will then apply this framework to analyse the construction of Deep Learning Algorithms and will argue that they can be interpreted as the automated, vertical, bottom-up construction of a sequence of scientific models.

9 DECEMBER 2020, Jimena Canales (Illinois): ‘Science and the History of Non-Existential Things’
What does not or does not yet exist plays a predominant role in science and technology. Discovery, either when considered as a process of uncovering or of creation, involves the bringing into existence of the new. As scientists search for answers and solutions, they are often confronted with problems and paradoxes that seem to escape from the realm of reason. The cause of such mischief is often anthropomorphized, called a demon, and given the last name of famous scientists, such as Descartes, Laplace, and Maxwell. The antechamber of discovery is not, as is frequently thought, an inscrutable “private art” marked by punctual “Eureka!” moments. It is a rich cultural, social, economic and political space filled with imaginary perpetrators with recognizable characteristics that have remained fairly constant throughout many centuries. A study of the half-empty glass of scientific research reveals certain patterns in the search terms that drive discovery.

V. FOURTH BIENNIAL EENPS CONFERENCE (EENPS 2022)

Call for the expression of interest to host the Fourth Biannual EENPS conference (EENPS 2022)
We invite expressions of interest in hosting the Fourth Biannual EENPS (East European Network for Philosophy of Science) conference. The EENPS conferences are organised biannually, starting from the Inaugural one in 2016 that was organised in Sofia. We are seeking a host for the conference that will be held in the year 2022. The EENPS conferences attract the highest-level recent work in the philosophy of science from the members of the network and worldwide.
The Expression of Interest for hosting the EENPS conference has to be sent to the Steering Committee (SC) of EENPS before 31 December 2020 and should contain the following elements:
1. A declaration that the travel and accommodation expenses for at least two keynote speakers, reception and coffee breaks will be covered by the host;
2. A description of the venue, providing evidence that it is suitable for holding a conference of at least 50 participants and that it is supplied with presentation equipment;
3. A suggestion for a Chair of the Local Organising Committee (LOC). The Chair of the LOC should be a member of EENPS;
The SC will announce its decisions about the host of the next EENPS conference, the Chair of LOC and the two co-Chairs of the Program Committee (PC) on 01 February 2021. The two co-Chairs of the PC should be members of EENPS and should not be from the same country of residence.
The co-Chairs of the PC, together with the members of the SC, will suggest potential members of the PC. The SC will decide on the final list of the PC, which should contain at least 12 members. No more than two PC members should be from the same country of residence.
The co-Chairs of the PC will be responsible for:
1. Suggesting members of the PC;
2. The supervision of the evaluation of all submissions by the PC members according to the established blind-review procedure of EENPS;
3. Deciding on the final list of accepted papers. When assembling the final list, the PC co-Chairs should follow the practice of non-discrimination and should aim at a gender and geographical balance without making compromises with the quality of the accepted papers;
4. Creating the conference program (in cooperation with the Chair of LOC).

The Chair of the LOC will be responsible for:
1. Securing the necessary funding for the EENPS conference;
2. Deciding on the members of the LOC (optimally 5 to 7 persons);
3. Drafting and disseminating the CFP texts;
4. Deciding on the keynote speakers which should be chosen from a list suggested by the SC;
5. Receiving the submissions and preparing them for a blind review;
6. Drafting and disseminating the preliminary as well as the final program of the conference with a close cooperation with the PC co-Chairs;
7. Communicating with the prospective and approved participants in the conference;
8. Preparing practical information for the participants in the conference.

**Important dates:**
*Deadline for submitting the Expression of Interest:* 31 December 2020.
*Communicating the decisions to the candidates:* 01 February 2021.
*Please, send your Expressions of Interest to:* eenphilsci@gmail.com

**VI. CALLS FOR MANUSCRIPTS**

*A History of Physics: Phenomena, Ideas & Mechanisms – Essays in Honor of Salvo D'Agostino*

Springer Book Series: [https://www.springer.com/series/7481](https://www.springer.com/series/7481)
*Brief on Salvo D'Agostino (1921-2020)* (Roma La Sapienza University, Italy)

**MAIN AREAS/DISCIPLINES**

- History of Physics;
- History and epistemology of science;
- Historical epistemology of science;
- Historiography of Physics/Mathematics;
- Foundations of Science;
- [Classical & Modern] Physics/Mathematics/Astronomy & related to Chemistry, Engineering, Applied Sciences & Technology
RATIONALE, CONTENTS & CRITERIA

- **Mainly:** New essays in History of Physics ranging across the entire field and related in most instance to the works of Salvo D'Agostino, one of the main field's scholars since the second half of the past century. *All mechanisms are mechanisms of a phenomenon?* A phenomenon is an observable measurable fact, including data modelling, assumptions/laws. A mechanical phenomenon is associated to equilibrium/motion. *What about in thermodynamics, electromagnetism and modern physics with respect to the ideas within cultures and lives?* In the past, mechanics/mechanisms basically assumed planetary and terrestrial motions. Then, it devoted to understanding of causations of disequilibrium (shocking, gravitational, attraction/repulsion, etc.) until apparent incoherence from Quantum Mechanics and Relativities. The book analyse Phenomena, Ideas & Mechanisms both as regular changes/interaction and in the framework of *irregular cases* of modern physics. Implications & limits will be explored.

- **Title:** A History of Physics: Phenomena, Ideas & Mechanisms – Essays in Honor of Salvo D'Agostino
- **Guest Editor:** Raffaele Pisano (Lille University, France)
- **Springer Book Series:** History of Mechanism and Machine Science [https://www.springer.com/series/7481](https://www.springer.com/series/7481)
- **Email/Info:** raffaele.pisano@univ-lille.fr
- **Foreword:** by a distinguished scholar on the subject
- **Preface**
- **Acknowledgements**
- **Remarks for Reader**
- **Introduction:** by Guest Editor
- **Part I.** Remembering Salvo D'Agostino. Life & Career in the History of Physics: by few invited scholars
- **Part II.** History of Physics Essays in Electromagnetism, Relativity and Quantum Mechanics
- **Part III.** Essays in History of: Physics/Mathematics/Astronomy & related to Chemistry, Engineering, Applied Sciences & Technology
- **Epilogue:** by a distinguished scholar on the subject
- **References**
- **Index**
- **Editing:** Author Guidelines | in order, please write to: raffaele.pisano@univ-lille.fr
- **Language:** English [eventual original non–English language should be moved in the footnotes]
- **Outcome expected:** 2023
- **Fees:** No
- **Criteria:** all submitted papers, which meet the criteria of originality and quality, will be blind peer–reviewed for the publication. The papers are expected to be revised (in the contents, editing and English) prior to submission. The papers not adequately written according to guidelines, even if its abstract-proposal was regularly accepted, then they can be finally rejected by editors.
DEADLINES

- **Abstract–proposal**: (in English) peer-reviewed proposal submission: 2020, Dec. 15th [Free editing: Title, Name, Affiliation, Email, Keywords + references list + your choice if Part II or III as above cited; all within two (2) pages maximum]. Please send to: raffaele.pisano@univ-lille.fr
- **Acceptance/rejected**: abstract–proposal: 2021, January 15th
- **Full (edited) paper submission**: 2022, January 15th [Please send to: raffaele.pisano@univ-lille.fr]
- **Peer-review evaluation processus**: 2022, January 16th – 2022, March 15th
- **Publication**: expected 2023

**Special issue in Studies of History and Philosophy of Science, Part A, on the topic**

“Pursuitworthiness in Scientific Inquiry”

The special issue will be co-edited by Dunja Šešelja (TU Eindhoven) and Jamie Shaw (University of Toronto). **Deadline for submissions: May 1, 2021.** The topic of pursuitworthiness in scientific inquiry received a lot of attention throughout the last decades of the 20th century. While this theme draws its roots from Peirce’s ‘economy of research’ and discussions that followed Reichenbach’s distinction between the context of discovery and the context of justification, pursuitworthiness became an explicit topic of philosophical accounts in the post-Kuhnian literature. Starting from Laudan’s (1977) ‘context of pursuit’, to McMullin’s (1976) ‘heuristic appraisal’, to Anne-Whitt’s (1992) ’indices of theory-promise’ different accounts aimed at explicating ways of evaluating the promising character of scientific inquiry. The importance of distinguishing the ‘comparative evaluation of problem-solving efficiency and promise’ and the ‘evaluation of completed research’ (Nickles 1980) remained central to subsequent philosophical debates: from discussions on the role of values in scientific research, to the literature on scientific pluralism, to debates concerning particular controversies in empirical sciences, to epistemological discussions on the norms underlying the process of inquiry – to mention only some examples. Even though the topic of pursuitworthiness continues to play a central role in contemporary philosophical debates, its treatment remains scattered across different problem-fields. Whether we are tackling the pursuitworthiness of theoretical frameworks such as string theory in physics, projects concerning the risks and safety from a future artificial intelligence, exploratory models in biomedical sciences, or highly idealized models in social sciences, several issues remain constant across these debates. With this volume, we aim to bring these discussions together and provide an overview of the current outlooks on the pursuitworthiness in various scientific inquiries. At the same time, we aim to reexamine the actuality of the traditional philosophical accounts in face of novel problems and challenges. Altogether, we welcome submissions on:

- questions about the distinctive epistemic norms operative in the assessment of pursuitworthiness in various scientific disciplines
- formal approaches to the assessment of pursuitworthiness
- the pursuitworthiness of different epistemic units (such as hypotheses, models, theories, research programs, epistemic objects, etc.)
- the role of values in the context of pursuit
the relevance of traditional accounts of pursuitworthiness in contemporary philosophical debates, etc.

Please submit your paper via the Editorial Manager (VSI: Pursuitworthiness in Science), prepared for anonymous review by May 1, 2021. The manuscript should not exceed 10,000 words. Please let one of us know if you have any questions. Contacts: d.seselja@tue.nl; jshaw222@uwo.ca

Sincerely,
Dunja and Jamie

VII. CALLS FOR PAPERS

HIDDEN HISTORIES: WOMEN AND SCIENCE IN THE TWENTIETH CENTURY
7-8 May 2021
Submission deadline: 15 January 2021

The twentieth century has often been hailed as a period when women became important in science, but their participation in scientific inquiry and practice often remains buried, quite literally, in the footnotes of specialist publications and studies of the history of science. Even today, national statistics about women in science are not always easily available. The data that does exist suggests there is significant regional and cultural variation in how women engage with science globally. Recent UNESCO surveys, for example, point to a contrast between the former Soviet republics of Central Asia, where almost half of the researchers employed in science are female, and East, South and West Asia, where that proportion drops significantly to 23 percent or less. Similarly, in Eastern European countries female researchers tend to be better represented in science fields than their Western European counterparts. Perhaps ironically, that relationship is reversed when we turn our attention to studies of the history of science in the twentieth century: the scientific pursuits of women in Western contexts have consistently enjoyed more visibility than those in regions like Africa, Asia or Eastern Europe. The Biographical Dictionary of Women in Science (2000) is emblematic of these trends, listing as it does a mere 17 scientists from India, China and Japan, as opposed to more than 500 from Great Britain, and featuring entries up to the 1950s, a period that roughly overlaps with decolonization in Asia.

This two-day virtual conference, accompanied by a roundtable discussion, brings together scholars from different disciplinary backgrounds to address two main, interrelated questions:

- How did women contribute to the making and communication of scientific knowledge in the twentieth century?
- How do we study the history of women in science during this period?

We begin from the premise that encounters with science happened in a multitude of settings and that statistical data, while essential, provides only a superficial insight into the myriad experiences of women in science and, indeed, what science itself meant in different regional and cultural contexts. Our aim is to move beyond the popular ‘heroine’ model to investigate the many hidden
figures who worked not only as professional scientists, but also at the periphery and even outside of scientific communities as lab technicians, amateur scientists, school teachers, librarians, journalists or science writers. In so doing, we hope to raise new questions and formulate new methods for writing the history of women in science. What, for example, do textbooks, forgotten footnotes in scientific papers, conversations about female colleagues in male scientists’ correspondence or photographs of Indian women toiling at archaeological sites teach us about the history of women in science?

Possible topics include:

- Gender and the historiography of science: theories, methods and archives
- Pedagogy of science: government policies around science and education, women in tertiary education, science clubs, science in the home, science education in religious institutions
- Cultures of scientific practice: laboratories, fieldwork, secondary school teaching, scientific instruments, relationship between professional and amateur science
- Scientific communication: scientific periodicals, mass media and science journalism, museum work, popular science writing, photography, the arts
- Representations of women and gender in science
- Women and scientific networks: personal and professional networks, associational culture

Keynote speakers: Prof Mariko Ogawa (Mie University) & Prof Andrea Pető (CEU)

We welcome contributions from both experienced and early career scholars. We encourage especially scholars working in/on countries and regions that are less represented to apply, in order to promote a global dialogue on this matter. Please send your proposals for 20-minute papers (abstracts of max. 300 words), along with a brief biographical note, to womeninscience2021@gmail.com by the deadline of 15 January 2021. Successful applicants will be notified by 15 February 2021. The conference will be held virtually via Zoom or heiCONF and participants will have the option of presenting their papers live or in pre-recorded format. For queries please do not hesitate to contact the organizers at the above email address.

Contact Info:
Dr Amelia Bonea (University of Heidelberg) & Dr Irina Nastasă-Matei (University of Bucharest)
womeninscience2021@gmail.com

Virtual entities in science: a virtual workshop
5, 12 and 19 March 2021, online
Organized by the Project “Virtual Particles” (A1) of the Research Unit
“The Epistemology of the Large Hadron Collider”

Call for papers
Not only since the sudden increase of online communication due to the COVID-19 situation has the concept of the “virtual” made its way into everyday language. In this context, it mostly denotes a digital substitute of a real object or process. “Virtual reality” is perhaps the best known term in this respect. With these digital connotations, “virtuality” has been used also in science and research: Chemists use virtual laboratories, biologists do virtual scanning of molecular structures, and
geologists engage in virtual field trips. But the concept of the “virtual” has a much longer tradition, dating back to long before the dawn of the digital age. Virtual displacements and virtual images were introduced in classical physics already in the 18th century. They represented auxiliary objects or processes without instantiation, with the purpose of efficiently describing specific physical systems. Through Heisenberg’s use of “potentia” in his late attempts to interpret quantum mechanics, the term “virtual” may even relate back to Aristotle.

In today’s physics, the term virtual is mostly associated with the quantum world, first and foremost with the “virtual particle” of quantum field theory. It has become such an integral part of modern high energy physics that its ontological character may be considered to go beyond the purely auxiliary, which is typically associated with the virtual. The various possibilities for a virtual particle to manifest itself in a measurement highlights, furthermore, how “potentiality” continues to be a characteristic feature of virtual entities.

In other disciplines, however, use of the term “virtual” without a digital connotation is much rarer. While concepts like “virtual adrenaline” in medicine and biochemistry arise in the 1940s, and the “virtual moon” figures quite prominently in some (English translations of) Babylonian calendar texts, these examples seem to be rather singular occurrences of entities that were explicitly called “virtual”. The basic idea behind the terminology of the virtual, however, could be much more common, even outside of physics. The “invisible hand” in economics, or the “vital force” in biology, for instance, do carry aspects of a virtual entity, even if they have not been called that way.

For this workshop we invite contributions that address the historical formation and philosophical interpretation of concepts of virtual entities in physics and other disciplines – in whatever terms they may come. The main goal of the workshop is to bring to the fore similarities and differences in the meanings and functions of these concepts so as to be able to precisely characterize why certain entities are considered virtual in specific contexts, why a different terminology was often used in each individual case and in what sense the virtual entities relate to the real world.

We are looking for contributions that address the role of these concepts in theoretical as well as experimental activities, and for investigations into the origins of the terminology of the virtual as it was applied to the various disciplines of natural science. Work that integrates philosophical and historical approaches is particularly welcome.

Among other things, contributions may focus on one of the following aspects which are usually associated with virtual entities, in particular if we think of the virtual particle of modern quantum field theory:

- The terminology of virtuality, including its etymology, and why it was applied to the entities in question: Why not other terms like “substitute” or “auxiliary”?
- The potentiality inherent in virtual entities to bring about certain effects, which may eventually be realized or not: How is this to be understood exactly?
- The ontology of virtual entities: How is it different from real entities, and how do we get epistemic access to virtual entities?

The workshop will be held online. Apart from the contributed talks, the program will feature a small number of keynotes. To contribute a paper, please send a title and an abstract (approx. 200 words) along with your name, affiliation and contact details to adrian.wuethrich@tu-berlin.de no later than 15 November 2020.

For further information and updates please consult the conference webpage or contact one of the organizers. Robert Harlander, Jean-Philippe Martinez, Friedrich Steinle, Adrian Wüthrich (adrian.wuethrich@tu-berlin.de)
As you may know the 13th International Conference on History of Chemistry (13ICH) organized by the EuChemS Working Party on the History of Chemistry (WPHC), in cooperation with Vilnius University, was be announced to be held in Vilnius (Lithuania), from the 18th to the 22nd of May 2021. See: https://www.ichc2021vilnius.chgf.vu.lt/.

Due to the evolution of the Covid-19 pandemic we should inform you that it the conference has been postponed to May 2023, because evolution of the sanitary situation in different areas and countries would prevent many potential contributors from attending the conference in 2021. The new date of May 2023 has been chosen because the WPHC has a biannual schedule, which we would prefer to maintain for organizational issues.

We would also like to inform you that a one-day online activity will be organized by the WPHC at one of the conference days mentioned above. Next to a public online lecture we will then also have our Business Meeting. In this way we hope to keep the flame of the history of chemistry burning in May 2021. Details will be communicated later.

As chairs of the Steering Committee we apologize for these changes and express our gratitude to the local organizers for making possible to meet all of you in Vilnius in May 2023.

Sincerely
Ernst Homburg and Ignacio Suay

VIII. JOBS, POSTDOCTORAL POSITIONS, RESEARCH FELLOWSHIPS, AND GRADUATE SCHOOL OPPORTUNITIES

Denmark
DIAS Assistant Professor in Political History and/or Digital History
University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark
https://www.researchgate.net/job/946450_DIAS_Assistant_Professor_in_Political_History_and_or_Digital_History

The Australian Academy of Science Regional Collaborations Programme COVID-19 digital grants. Grants of up to A$10,000 are available to support digital collaborative research projects with Asia-Pacific regional partner economies for Australian early- and mid-career researchers. The Australian Academy of science invites applications for the Regional Collaborations Programme COVID-19 digital grants. The grants will support projects that utilise digital methods of collaboration to address shared regional challenges that either directly or indirectly relate to the COVID-19 pandemic response and recovery in the Asia-Pacific region. A total funding pool of over $250,000 will be shared between early-career (up to 10 years post PhD) and mid-career (up to 15 years post PhD) researchers. The projects are expected to commence after recipients are announced in early 2021
and must conclude by 31 January 2022. This funding initiative is part of the Australian Government's Global Innovation Strategy, under the National Innovation and Science Agenda.

CollEx-Persée, a network of libraries and archives centers in France, launches its first call for researcher's residencies.

Call closure: January 10, 2021

This call for projects offers the possibility for a library of the CollEx-Persée network (https://www.collexpersee.eu/le-reseau/) and a researcher whose research concerns a particular collection held by this library to work together to enhance the value of this collection. The researcher will be hosted in residence by the library in order to develop knowledge of and access to the collection, to design projects to enhance the scientific value of the collection and/or to prefigure enriched digitisation projects. The CollEx-Persée GIS thus wishes to promote the library as a place for research and expertise and to create a synergy between STI professionals and researchers by supporting dialogue between their reciprocal skills. This call is aimed at permanent and post-doctoral researchers, in France or abroad. The residency will take place between 1 September 2021 and 31 August 2022. The funding granted by CollEx-Persée per residence is a maximum of 50,000 euros. For questions, please contact residences@collexpersee.eu

Emmylou Haffner, PhD.
Laboratoire de Mathématiques d'Orsay, Université Paris Saclay.

California Institute of Technology (Caltech) - Assistant Professor of the History of Technology

The Division of the Humanities and Social Sciences at the California Institute of Technology (Caltech) invites applications for a tenure-track position in the history of technology, beginning Fall 2021. The appointment will be at the assistant professor level; however, exceptionally well-qualified applicants at higher levels may also be considered. The specific area and period is open, but we would like to find a candidate who is able to handle technical, cultural, and economic aspects of the subject, including connections to the history of science, even if their own concentration leans more to one aspect or the other. We are seeking highly qualified candidates who are committed to a career in research and teaching. The term of an untenured initial appointment is four years and is contingent upon completion of the Ph.D. degree. Interested candidates should apply electronically at: https://applications.caltech.edu/jobs/historytech.

Applications should include a cover letter, research statement, CV, teaching statement, three letters of recommendation, and a writing sample. Applicants should submit a diversity and inclusion statement that discusses past and/or anticipated contributions to improving diversity, equity, and inclusion in the areas of research, teaching, and/or outreach. The deadline for applications is December 1, 2020.

We are an equal opportunity employer and all qualified applicants will receive consideration for employment without regard to age, race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or national origin, disability status, protected veteran status, or any other characteristic protected by law.
Fellowships and Postdocs at the Center for Philosophy of Science

The Center for Philosophy of Science at the University of Pittsburgh invites applications for Fellowships supporting visits in the Center for a term or an academic year during the academic year 2021 - 2022. Applications are due by December 15, 2020, and can be submitted electronically here: https://www.centerphilsci.pitt.edu/fellow-application/

Application documents required:
(1) A cover letter that indicates a Visiting Fellowship is sought and describes: the period of proposed residence in the Center; the source and amount of likely funding; an indication of need for supplementary funds; and note previous fellowships at the Center.
(2) A description of the project to be undertaken during residence (500-1000 words).
(3) A curriculum vitae.
(4) A sample of (or internet link to) your written work.
(5) Letters of reference from three knowledgeable scholars. We recommend letters whose content is blinded to the applicant, and sent to Alex Magee via email (amm279@pitt.edu).

For further details, visit the Center's Website https://www.centerphilsci.pitt.edu
Visiting Fellows Program Overview: https://www.centerphilsci.pitt.edu/programs/visiting-fellows/program-overview/; Postdoctoral Fellowship Overview:
https://www.centerphilsci.pitt.edu/programs/postdoc-fellowships/program-overview/

We particularly welcome submissions from members of underrepresented groups in philosophy of science. We also would like to remind you that instead of being limited to two semesters during your entire career, Visiting Fellows can now visit the Center for at most two semesters every ten years. The Center has invited Heather Douglas to be the Senior Visiting Fellow for the academic year September 2021 - April 2022. We encourage applications for Visiting Fellowships from scholars whose research intersects with Professor Douglas.

Please see our website for more details: https://www.centerphilsci.pitt.edu/

Edouard Machery, Director
Center for Philosophy of Science
University of Pittsburgh

Postgraduate funding opportunities in History and Philosophy of Science and Medicine at Cambridge, 2021-22

The Department of History and Philosophy of Science at the University of Cambridge is the largest of its kind in the UK, and has an unrivalled reputation for teaching and research. Staff have expertise in the history and philosophy of a wide range of sciences and of medicine. If you are interested in studying for an MPhil or PhD in History and Philosophy of Science and Medicine at Cambridge, you will find everything you need to know about the Department, the courses, the academic staff <https://www.people.hps.cam.ac.uk/index>, and the application process on our website https://www.hps.cam.ac.uk/study/postgraduate. The Department offers two MPhil options: an MPhil in History and Philosophy of Science and Medicine.
https://www.hps.cam.ac.uk/study/postgraduate/mphil-hpsm and an MPhil in Health, Medicine and Society <https://www.hms.hps.cam.ac.uk/> (taught jointly with Social Anthropology and Sociology) as well as the PhD https://www.hps.cam.ac.uk/study/postgraduate/phd. For those considering a PhD, the Department will hold an online workshop as part of the University's Virtual Postgraduate Open Days, which run from 2 to 15 November. Led by the Director of Graduate Studies, the workshop will explain our admissions requirements and procedures. Advice will be provided on finding a workable topic and potential supervisors, writing a convincing proposal, securing references and applying for funding. To book a place on the Open Days, please go to https://www.postgraduate.study.cam.ac.uk/events/postgraduate-open-day.

To see the sorts of careers our PhD students go into, please see https://www.hps.cam.ac.uk/study/postgraduate/phd/placement-record.

Students applying to start an MPhil or PhD in 2021-22 have access to the following studentship opportunities:

✓ Cambridge Trust Scholarships
✓ Arts and Humanities Research Council (AHRC) Studentships
✓ Gates Cambridge Scholarships
✓ HPS Trust Fund Bursaries for all MPhil and PhD students
✓ Wellcome Trust Awards: for HMS MPhil and PhD (students with a focus on human or animal health) If you wish to be nominated for one of these awards please indicate this on your application form.

To be eligible for these awards you will need to submit your postgraduate application by 12 noon on 3 December 2020. US applicants, please note the early deadline (14 October) for Gates Cambridge Scholarships, which are available for PhD and Mphil.

All applicants will automatically be considered for an HPS Trust Fund Bursary. Initial offers of funding will be made in February, and awards will continue to be allocated until the application deadline has been reached, or all the available funding has been committed.

For more information on these and other awards available through the Department, please visit https://www.hps.cam.ac.uk/study/postgraduate/funding. For more general information on funding opportunities available to postgraduate students at the University of Cambridge: https://www.postgraduate.study.cam.ac.uk/funding. For further information about graduate study at HPS in Cambridge: hps-admin@lists.cam.ac.uk

**The Institute Vienna Circle Fellowships**

The maximum length of a fellowship is six months. Normally a fellowship pays €1800 brutto per month (approx. €1500 netto). Successful applicants will not normally reside in Vienna already. The on-going Covid-19 pandemic might make it difficult for us to guarantee all fellows full-time office space. The application procedure is as follows:

(1) Applicants send the following documents to office.wienerkreis@univie.ac.at:
   a. CV with list of publications
   b. exposure of the research to be undertaken during the fellowship
   c. two letters of recommendation
   d. certificates of academic degrees
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(2) The heads of the IVC consider the applicants together with current members of the IVC.
(3) The heads of the IVC, together with the Dean, decide on the basis of the application papers and the consultation (2).
(4) Applicants are informed about the success or failure of their application.

The application process is from **October 6th to 27th, 2020**; and we are considering applications for the six months from **March 1st, 2021**. We expect the fellows to:
- be present in Vienna during the fellowship period
- give a talk, if possible
- write a short written report about the research done at the IVC

Best wishes – and stay safe,
Prof. Martin Kusch (he or they), University of Vienna, Department of Philosophy and Institute Vienna Circle. [http://homepage.univie.ac.at/martin.kusch/index.html](http://homepage.univie.ac.at/martin.kusch/index.html)

---

**The Library Company's Innovation Fellowship Program**

**Francis Johnson Fellowships**

This program that has been in development for more than a year, will pair two short-term research fellows—one humanities scholar and one creative practitioner—to critically and creatively engage a collection integral to the Library Company's mission, values, or institutional history. The Innovation Fellowship Program is an extension of our more than 30-year-old fellowship program. Fellows will be encouraged to deepen research questions using the Library Company's historical collections, to work closely with curatorial staff, and to leverage Philadelphia's extensive network of arts and cultural heritage institutions. Complementing the Library Company's short-term research fellowships, the Innovation Fellowship Program will use our existing infrastructure as a residency program to accommodate artists and scholars who can contribute to our learning community and bring new tools, methods, and questions to our collections; providing academic humanists with new models of publicly-engaged scholarship; and enabling the Library Company to excavate core collection areas that illuminate the library's institutional history in advance of its tercentennial in 2031.

The Innovation Fellowship Program will unfold over multiple years. This year, the Library Company invites submissions for our inaugural Innovation Fellowships, the **Francis Johnson Fellowships**. The Johnson Fellowships will support two fellows—a humanities scholar and a creative practitioner—as they delve into the compositions of one of the most popular black composers prior to the American Civil War. These paired fellows will be encouraged to explore a manuscript book of 80 compositions (some unpublished) in conjunction with printed materials held at both the Library Company and at the Kislak Center at the University of Pennsylvania. Each Francis Johnson Fellow will receive a stipend of $5000, a $2500 research fund to be defined by the fellow and program director, and lodging at the Library Company's Cassatt House residence for four to eight weeks. The Library Company will also cover each fellow's travel expenses (up to $500). Both fellows will be featured at the Library Company's 290th Annual Dinner (attendance encouraged) and interviewed for the library's podcast, Talking in the Library.

We welcome proposals from creative practitioners and scholars in all fields and at all career stages, including graduate students; contingent, junior, and senior faculty; as well as independent
scholars and freelance artists. The Library Company anticipates individual applications; however, if an applicant has already identified their prospective collaborator, they may submit a team application. To apply for a Francis Johnson Fellowship, please send a proposal (no more than 1000 words) plus a preliminary budget and timeline, resume or c.v., and one letter of reference to wfenton@librarycompany.org by March 1, 2021. Your proposal should include some discussion of your background, experience, and expertise with special attention to service and collaborative projects; interest in Francis Johnson or the Library Company's holdings; and project plan with timeline, budget, and deliverables.

MA & PhD Studentship in Korean Studies
The Graduate School of Korean Studies in the Academy of Korean Studies is a government-funded institution established to develop and globalise Korean studies. It brings together 60 faculty members and 300 students, half of whom are international from 30 countries, to create an open and dynamic community to widen and deepen their knowledge in the fields of humanities and social sciences pertinent to Korea.

Programs
• Master’s degree
• Doctoral degree
• Research (non-degree)

Majors
• Korean History
• Diplomatics and Bibliography
• Philosophy
• Korean Linguistics
• Korean Literature
• Anthropology
• Folklore
• Religious Studies
• Musicology
• Art History
• Cultural Informatics
• Human Geography
• Political Science
• Sociology
• Education
• Korean Culture and Society

Benefits for International Students
• Tuition fees are fully waived for the whole coursework period.
• About 70% of international students are provided with the Government Grant, a monthly stipend of £500.
• A 5:1 student-faculty ratio enables close one-on-one guidance.
• Korean language courses, tutoring, writing clinic, and various cultural activities are available free of charge, supporting students' academic performance.

Requirements
• English language proficiency equivalent to or higher than TOEFL iBT 80, IELTS Academic Module 6.5, or TEPS 301 for applicants for Korean Culture and Society major.
• Korean language proficiency equivalent to or higher than TOPIK (Test of Proficiency in Korean) level 4 for applicants except for Korean Culture and Society major.

Apply Now

Apply online at gradaks.recruiter.co.kr by 9 November 2020
IX. Join ICOHTEC

Please share this form with a colleague who is not yet a member or ask your library to subscribe

Form for annual membership renewals / registration of new members

Annual membership includes subscription to ICOHTEC’s refereed annual journal ICON, access to all back issues of ICON in electronic form via the ICOHTEC website, a monthly Newsletter, and special registration rates at the annual ICOHTEC symposium.

I wish to □ renew my membership / □ to become a new member in ICOHTEC. (Tick below the appropriate description/rate):

☐ An individual. Rate: (30 € or 40 $ or equivalent) per year
☐ A student. Rate: (30 € or 40 $ or equivalent for two years)
☐ An institution. Rate: (75 € or 100 $ or equivalent) per year
☐ A library. Rate: (Europe: 36 €, Oversees 39 € or 52 $) per year

Tick the years of membership to be paid: □ 2020 □ 2021 □ 2022 □ 2023

I submit the total amount: ________
Your first name and surname: ______
Email: __________________________
Postal address: ___________________
Country: _________________________

Tick the method of your payment:

☐ Through international money transfer: Make international money transfer to: 
“ICOHTEC” at Commerzbank Bochum (Husemannplatz 3-4, D-44787 Bochum, Germany): 
IBAN: DE44 4304 0036 0390 2590 00; BIC: COBADEFFXXX

☐ Through a cheque. Send the check with the appropriate sum made out to “ICOHTEC” and send to: 
Timo Myllyntaus, Turku School of Economics, Rehtorinpellonkatu 3, R. 313, 20 500 Turku, Finland

After filling the form, please send by email to Timo Myllyntaus, ICOHTEC Treasurer at timmyl@utu.fi and to Stefan Poser, Secretary General of ICOHTEC at poser@hsu-hh.de.